

13th February, 2022

Dear District Director Robert DTM,

Thank you for the privilege of serving as the Realignment Committee Chair for 2019.

The committee meets under Policy and Protocol 7.0 District Structure, 1. Club Assignments in the Governing Documents.

Every year this committee attempts to balance a number of priorities to allow for the successful completion of the District Mission – *We build new clubs and support all clubs in achieving excellence*. These priorities are:

- Geographical proximity.
- Growth Opportunities within the geographical area.
- Reasonable likelihood of the given clubs being able to source an Area Director.
- District 69's current fiscal resources to support, in a reasonable manner, those members who participate in the leadership roles that are on offer.
- Ensure that clubs have reasonable access to an Area Director; that Area Directors have reasonable
 access to a Division Director; that the Division Directors have reasonable access to the Senior District
 Officers.

I believe this year we have been able to balance these priorities to form a solid realignment proposal to be discussed at Division Council then carried over to District Council. An effort has been made to have members involved in the discussion and they have put forward any ideas proposed. Covid has had an impact on our Clubs with regards vaccinated and unvaccinated members and venue requirements. Some of these challenges have been overcome with Clubs going online.

This report is reflective of Club status at the present time and proposals have been made taking this fact into consideration.

This would make a change to the number of Divisions within the District. Based on outlines from the District Director with regards how many Clubs constitute a Division at the current point in time we would lose P Division as it does not have the required number of Clubs. This may well change before the District Council Meeting for this Division and others within the District and alignments would need to be updated and an updated proposal put forward for the District Council Meeting

This proposal gives the members the opportunity to discuss more fully at their Division Council Meetings and to reach an agreement as a Division to be able to put forward a report to the District Council Meeting that all members have knowledge of and have had an opportunity to discuss.

I would like to pass on my thanks to all the Division Realignment Committee members for the role that they have played inn involving the Area Directors and Clubs in the Division making process.

Yours sincerely,

Christine Lennon DTM, Realignment Committee Chair District 69, 2021-22

Division	Status	Suspension/closures
В	Based on the current situation the Division is to maintain status quo. Lots of potential and if they come to fruition before District Council Meeting then proposal will need to be reviewed.	Cubemasters
C	Look at the Clubs geographically Move Maryborough from Area 28 to 10 Move Weblec from Area 28 to 10 as online Move Gympie Goal Diggers to Area 22 closer to Sunshine Coast Move South Burnett in new Area 29 in Western as closer At the present time Clubs are experiencing challenges with restrictions on venues with vaccinated and unvaccinated members. Some Clubs are meeting online. Some Clubs have concerns with low membership	Yandina Kawana G'Day is pending closure won't have members to continue
E	Brisbane Leaders to be moved from Area 7 to Area 28 Crest will continue Remaining to retain status quo	Sunrise Jacaranda Icon } pending closure Logan
Μ	Move of Alice Springs back to Northern Territory they felt their needs not being met being in M Division Status quo for remaining Clubs	Narangba
Ν	Darwin Club and Northern Communicators moved back to Northern Territory did not want to be in Northern given the geographical difference. There is potential to grow another Club. Some discussion as to what District they should be in with regards travel. D73 has been mentioned which is Melbourne, Adelaide, Tasmanian and they rotate District Conference so may not best suit their needs. This would leave three Clubs in Area 2 if it is not Chartered by Northern Division Conference then realignment would need to be decided on to meet the required 4 Clubs in an Area	Townsville University Burdekin
Ρ	Covid has impacted P Division as all but two Clubs are Corporate funded Clubs and some Corporations have downsized staff and are not putting monies into personal development. While there are 11 potential Clubs in the pipeline they have not chartered with only one being a Prospective Club at this stage so they have not been taken into consideration at this time.	Westpac Vaigana South Pacific Brewers Port Moresby OSL Coral Seas Iagifu Ridge Iagifu Ridge-Kutubu

	This would mean that as per District Directors guidelines P Division would cease to exist and Papua New Guinea and Fiji Clubs would come back in as part of Northern Division and be in two Areas so that it would be easy to realign and reform the Division when the pending Clubs are formed	
S	There is a Club in formation that would go into Area 24. It was felt that perhaps a Club could be moved from Area 24 into Area 6 to prepare. Area 24 currently has 6 Clubs. Southport could move into Area 6 Area 23 has requested that an online Club move into their Area to add strength as it has at risk Clubs. It was suggested that Leaders by Design might move from Area 35 to Area 23 There is also Eternity in Area 6 that is an online Club.	Griffith Guild
W	There is a potential online Club Meeting Masters that could go into Area 1 if it charters in time. Lockyer Valley Club wants to be part of a Toowoomba Area as geographically it is closer. Looking at things geographically South Burnett is located closer to Toowoomba Clubs that the Area it is currently in. If they are happy to move into Western Division then an Area could form (Area 29) if not then unless the Meeting Masters chartered the Division would retain status quo	Limestone Club